

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/455,576		12/06/1999	KIYOTAKA NAKABAYASHI	450100-02236	5333
20999	7590	08/26/2004	EXAMINER		
11101.11.1		RENCE & HAUG	WU, JII	WU, JINGGE	
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. K, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2623	$\cap$
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	, 70

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/455,576	NAKABAYASHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jingge Wu	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	lay 2004.						
,— ,—	action is non-final.						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>3-4</u> is/are rejected.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
-	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		od.					
" See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2623

### Response to Amendment

Applicants' response to the last Office Action, filed June 12, 2004 has been entered and made of record.

The rejection of claims 1 and 2 are rendered moot by applicant's cancellation of those claims.

Applicants' amendment has required new grounds of rejection. New grounds rejection are therefore presented in the Office Action.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3 and 4 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: in claims 3 and 4, the term "k Zs,k" has not supported in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Art Unit: 2623

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The term "k Zs,k" was not described in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5754682 Katoh in view of US 6362830 to Walraven.

As to claim 3 (under best understanding of the Examiner), Katoh discloses an image processing apparatus for processing the image data input from an image handling device (CRT) and them outputting the image data to another image handling device (printer) (fig. 6), comprising:

black adaptation correction means for correcting the image data by applying a black conversion XsYsZs to Xs,kYs,kZs,k (col. 9 lines 8-49, note that X'Y'Z' is Xs,kYs,kZs,k) in order to determine optimal color space (La\*b\*) such that the colors of the images produced by the image-handling device are similar (col. 13 line 48-col. 15 line 56, note that in the device independent color space La\*b\*, the color appearance of the printer and CRT are corrected such that the colors are looked like similar).

Art Unit: 2623

Katoh further teaches transfer LMS color space to La\*b\* via color values Xo\*Yo\*Zo\* (col. 14 lines 14-15) but does not explicitly mention transfer LMS to XopYopZop (a CIEXYZ space) which is well known in the art.

Walraven, in an analogous environment, discloses the transformation LMS to XYZ (col. 5 lines 37-51 equation 6, and col. 9 lines 13-58, note that equation 18 can be represented by LMS vectors via equation 6).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transformation of Walraven in the apparatus of Katoh in order to accurately reproduce same output colors as input colors (Walraven, col. 1 lines 24-53, Katoh, col. 1-3).

As to claim 4, the claim is the corresponding method claim to claim 3. the discussions are addressed with regard to claim 3.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2623

the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Jingge Wu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9588. He can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm. The examiner can be also reached on second alternate Fridays.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to TC customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au, can be reached at (703) 308-6604.

The Working Group Fax number is (703) 872-9314.

Jingge // Ju

Primary Patent/Examiner